

October 17, 2011

**LID Working Group
Room B – RFCD 1:30- 3:00**

**1.) Update on City/County Water Study Task Demand Management 5.2 –
Develop Design Guidelines for Neighborhood Scale Water
Harvesting**

- a. Status of Detention/Retention Manual Rewrite – Ann (10 min)
- b. Status of Neighborhood Scale Water Harvesting Manual – Evan (5min)

2.) Homework (60 min)

- a. From your discipline's perspective what are your success criteria for an LID project?
- b. Review of LID Case Study Sites

3.) Working Group Structure (5 min)

- a. PAG Resolution – EPAC December
- b. Web Page

4.) Next Steps (10 min)

5.) Review of Action Items

Some action items for the next meeting (November 21 at 1:30).

A.) Success Criteria:

Write a statement on what criteria to consider to determine in your field: Mine was:

Flood Control – Evan

From a flood control management perspective, a GI/LID project should provide reliable, effective flood detention or retention in a cost-effective manner.

The point of this exercise is to have a success framework to talk about with Case Studies.

B.) Case Studies:

1.) We will attempt to gather the following data on case studies to begin to assemble a common set of metrics to compare 'successful' LID projects. A preliminary list of items to gather is as follows:

LID projects in Tucson in order to create a reference on the implementation of LID projects in Tucson. In particular we are interested in:

- a. Project purpose
- b. Who was involved?
- c. What was accomplished?
- d. Specifics of area modified, features installed etc.
- e. What design documents are available?
- f. What did it cost?
- g. From your discipline's perspective what success criteria would you use to evaluate the project, and how well does it work?

- 6.) Ann and Evan will see if RFCD can commit staff time to assemble this Case Study Catalog. However, we will be looking to others to determine the format of this Case Study Catalog.

C.) PAG:

We will make a presentation at EPAC based on the ideas currently in the attached draft resolution. We would expect that EPAC will then ask us to prepare an actual resolution. The timing of this would be January or February EPAC meeting.

D.) Web Site:

The website will become more of a depot for information. Brian Jones, who works for Eric, will post everything sent to me (when I get around to put in format to give to him – hasn't happened yet this week).

